Approved For Release 2005/01/27: CIA-RDP75-00149R000700450075 Rupsia

State of the Nations Xpw 28 8 ym.

Missile and Deterrent Gaps

viet rocketry strength just as: the great national political

But the fact is that willy between expected "missile strength and our lesser strength in the early 1960's. And Defense Secretary Thomas S. Gates, Jr., in a somewhat lnept press confer-Thomas S. Gates, Jr., in a But, of course, this is not somewhat hept press conferthe whole story. The missile ence permitted the oversime and the most merely a question a safe yardstick, after history hardy. so seriously misgauged Hit-

that in estimating Soviet pro- If very few rockets, planes, And the debate, political of duction in any military line—fighter, bombers, would surther now-obsolescent heavy vive, then there exists a "deat issue are questions of national descriptions of the debate, political or otherwise, will continue. For at issue are questions of national descriptions of the debate, political or otherwise, will continue. Soviet bombers, for instance— terrent gap" until that failing tional survival until the research and devel- is rectified. pment stage is completed Gen. Thomas S. Power, gone into serial production— with only 300 missiles, half of until then, intelligence esti-them of intermediate range, mates must be based mainly the Soviets "could virtually on "capability." And in a sys- wipe out our entire nuclear against the material of military efficiency, capability will be very of 30 minutes." If this be so, which there the early sett what is the hasis for the adainage. high. Hence the early esti-what is the basis for the admates that the Soviets would ministration's new missile run up a 3-to-1 advantage in optimism? n issiles.

By WILLIAM H. STRINGER, But as more hard facts be- to Christina Sele Washington | come available, and assembly | riod of | missis | finferiority | lines go into action; it is post | fostore | finite come available, and assembly

argument was being launched, by the national political de-

plified impression to get about of comparing the total of So-binates were based not on Soviet capabilities but on the American IGBMs. If the Government And quite naturally, the Democrats and a while the Soviets had 300, that lot of other people have been 100 could do as much damage lot of other people have been to a surprise attacker—in the asking, "How do you gauge to a surprise as to make his attack unwise and fool-

ler's intention, to name one How many would survive for retaliation after the first over the intelligence esti- prise Soviet blow, then the Minuteman are ready, two to United States has a valid de- four years hence? Mr. Dulles has pointed out terrent against sudden attack.

and effectiveness tests are commander of the Strategic conducted and factories have Air Command, has said that

> There would seem to be two possible base: for optimism. One is that using the updated intelligmates, the Pent doesait think the Sovie ill hate these 300 missile. th which

(I) of Misseuri, one of the main point to be noticed chief congressional experts by the national political defense, says the missile one and still may be grow-

> for instance, could be delivered by fighter bombers from NATO airfields or by maneuvering aircraft carriers. And, of course, the administration is ready to keep a percentage of its SAC bombers in the air at all times—thus invulner-table to surprise attack—as the missile gap goes through its most serious stage:

Do these two "points for optimism" nullify the deter-rent gap? Is it safe to base national survival on admittedly imperfect intelligence. Now the Central Intelligence Agency's able director, "deterrent gap" comes into Allen W. Dulles, has cleared up, to a degree, the confusion power would survive the surthe intelligence action after the intermediate where is where ministration rely mainly on its SAC bombers, plus the Atlas ICBMs in small quantities, until the really concealable solid-fueled Polaris and